

Activity Title: Reflecting on Poor Netiquette Scenarios

Objective:

To help participants recognize the importance of proper netiquette by reflecting on real-life examples where it was not followed and brainstorming how it could have been improved.

Duration:

15-20 minutes

Instructions:

- 1. Group Formation:
 - Divide participants into **small groups** of 3-5 people.

2. Scenario Presentation:

- Present the group with **three different scenarios** where netiquette was not followed (examples provided below).
- Each group should pick one scenario and discuss the **consequences** of poor netiquette in that situation.

3. Discussion Points:

- What are the potential consequences of this behavior (e.g., misunderstanding, damaged relationships, reduced collaboration)?
- How could the situation have been improved by following proper netiquette?

Example Scenarios:

- 1. Scenario 1: Social Media Conflict
 - A heated debate on a social media platform escalates into personal insults and name-calling.

Attachment to MT Task 2.3



Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.



Discussion: How does personal attacking harm productive conversations?
 How could the participants have engaged respectfully?

2. Scenario 2: Aggressive Group Email

- A group email is sent using **ALL CAPS** and an **aggressive tone** to express frustration with a team member's work.
- Discussion: What is the effect of shouting (all caps) in written communication? How could the sender have framed their message more professionally and effectively?

3. Scenario 3: Failure to Credit Sources

- In an online forum, a user shares valuable information but **fails to cite the original source** or give credit to the original author.
- Discussion: Why is acknowledging others' work important in digital spaces?
 What consequences arise from plagiarism, and how could proper credit improve credibility?

Debrief:

- After the group discussions, each group will take 2-3 minutes to **present their scenario** and conclusions.
- Encourage groups to share:
 - The **impact of poor netiquette** on communication.
 - Suggestions for how **following netiquette** would have improved the interaction.
- **Facilitator Role**: Summarize key points and highlight how practicing good netiquette fosters better digital environments and more effective communication.

Expected Outcomes:

• **Recognition of the consequences** of poor netiquette on relationships, collaboration, and professionalism.

Attachment to MT Task 2.3



Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.



Joint development, piloting and validation of entrepreneurial mindset and key skills curricula and training materials for third countries

- **Understanding the value** of respectful, clear, and professional communication in digital interactions.
- **Developing strategies** for improving online communication based on real-world scenarios.

Attachment to MT Task 2.3



Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.